Relativistic Stars in Starobinsky gravity with matched asymptotic expansion

Savaş Arapoğlu, Sercan Çıkıntoğlu, K. Yavuz Ekşi*

Faculty of Science and Letters, Department of Physics, 34469 Maslak, Istanbul, Turkey

(Dated: April 18, 2016)

arXiv:1604.02328v3 [gr-qc] 15 Apr 2016

Abstract

We study the structure of relativistic stars in $\mathcal{R} + \alpha\mathcal{R}^2$ theory using the method of matched asymptotic expansion to handle the higher order derivatives in field equations arising from the higher order curvature term. We find solutions, parametrized by α, for uniform density stars matching to the Schwarzschild solution outside the star. We obtain the mass-radius relations and study the dependence of maximum mass on α. We find that $M_{\rm max} \propto \alpha^{-3/2}$ for values of α larger than 10 km^2. For each α the maximum mass configuration has the biggest compactness parameter ($\eta = GM/Rc^2$) and we argue that the general relativistic stellar configuration corresponding to $\alpha = 0$ is the most compact among these.

*Electronic address: arapoglu@itu.edu.tr, cikintoglus@itu.edu.tr, eksi@itu.edu.tr

I. INTRODUCTION

Modifying Einstein's general relativity (GR) is an old attempt dating back to Kaluza-Klein's original idea of combining gravity with electromagnetism by introducing a fifth dimension. Later the work of Brans and Dicke led to a theory in which a scalar field is coupled to the curvature, and the theory was for many years the unique alternative to GR (see [1] for a review). Then superstring theory came into the stage, capturing the idea of Kaluza & Klein and Brans & Dicke in a natural way, appearing to be the most promising theory for unification of gravity and other interactions, and/or quantizing gravity. In the effective action of such superstring theories, there are ghost-free series of higher curvature corrections to the leading Einstein-Hilbert term representing GR.

The current interest in modifying GR has revived with one of the most important discoveries in physics, namely the current accelerated expansion of the Universe. A family of modification of GR includes higher curvature theories of the form $\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}^n$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is the Einstein-Hilbert term and $\mathcal{R}^n$ corresponds to the nth power of curvature scalar, Ricci tensor, Riemann tensor, and Weyl tensors [2–8] motivated by candidate fundamental theories. Another approach for modifying GR is the so called $f(\mathcal{R})$ theories of gravity [9–12] where one replaces the usual Einstein-Hilbert term in the action with a function of scalar curvature $\mathcal{R}$. There are many models with different functions of curvature terms, but a viable model, while providing accelerated expansion of the Universe [13–16] (see [17] for a review), should also be consistent with the solar system and cosmological observations in large scale [18].

A model which can be considered in the intersection of aforementioned modifications of GR is the $\mathcal{R} + \alpha\mathcal{R}^2$ gravity which is physical and does not contain ghost-like modes, unlike the situation encountered when other quadratic curvature terms or some complicated functions of curvature scalar are included in the action. This $\mathcal{R} + \alpha\mathcal{R}^2$ theory, known as the Starobinsky model [19], propagates an additional massive spin-0 state in addition to the usual massless graviton, and it was one of the first consistent inflationary models. It is also of interest to study the implications of this model in the strong gravity regime by examining the effect of the higher curvature term on, for example, the existence and structure of relativistic stars.

Since the field equations of the model are fourth order, unlike the second order field equations of GR, the first attempts to probe the existence of relativistic stars in Starobinsky model of gravity followed the approach of mapping the model to a scalar-tensor model [20]. This approach seems to simplify the analysis by reducing the order of the equations, but may lead to dubious conclusions [21]. Indeed, the first conclusions, reached by applying this method, about the non-existence of relativistic stars in $f(\mathcal{R})$ theories are corrected by more careful analysis [22, 23].

It is, thus, favourable to consider the theory in the originally suggested form without mapping to any equivalent theory; but, to eliminate the difficulties arising from the higher order field equations, a perturbative approach may need to be invoked. Such a technique

for reducing the order of field equations, known as perturbative constraints or order reduction [24, 25], is applied in the strong gravity regime in Ref. [26] to show the existence of relativistic stars in the Starobinsky model. The same method is employed in Ref. [27] for a representative sample of realistic EoSs to constrain the value of α by using neutron star mass-radius measurements [28]. Furthermore, the mass-radius relations are obtained for various $f(R)$ models with realistic EoSs in [29, 30], but see [31]. Besides, various $f(R)$ models and containing the Gauss-Bonnet invariant, $f(\mathcal{G})$, models are examined by considering hyperons and magnetic field effects in context of neutron stars in [32–34].

Singular perturbation problems where the perturbative term has the highest order of derivative are well known in the study of fluids. A well known example is the viscous term of the Navier-Stokes equation of hydrodynamics which is of second order and increases the spatial order of the Euler equation. The cases where viscosity is small can not be handled with ordinary perturbative methods in which one would simply ignore the viscous term at the zeroth order as such an equation with reduced order can not be made to satisfy all boundary conditions. Even if the viscous term could be negligibly small in the bulk of the flow, it would still be the dominant term near the boundary where the flow matches to the given boundary condition in a narrow domain called the boundary layer. The method of matched asymptotic expansion (MAE) [35, 36] is suitable for handling such singular perturbation problems where the perturbative term has the highest order of derivative. This method in the cosmological setting is employed for a specific class of $f(\mathcal{R})$ theories in Ref. [37] to handle the higher order derivative terms arising from the terms in the Lagrangian that are not linear in $\mathcal{R}$.

In this work we employ the MAE method for analysing the structure of neutron stars in Starobinsky model of gravity. The method was previously applied for relativistic stars in Ref. [38] where the authors considered only the trace equation with the MAE method and they solved the hydrostatic equilibrium equations by numerical methods for various equations of states. But this necessitates a fine-tuning to match with the Schwarzschild solution at the surface. We thus apply the method not only to the trace equation but also to the hydrostatic equilibrium equations simultaneously.

The plan of the paper is as follows: In section II, the field equations and the hydrostatic equilibrium equations are obtained. In section III, the MAE method is applied to hydrostatic equilibrium equations and in section IV, we obtain the solutions for uniform density stars. In section V we obtain the mass-radius relations (depending on α) in this gravity model and examine the maximum mass depending on α. Finally, in section VI we present our conclusions.

II. FIELD EQUATIONS AND SET-UP

The action of the Starobinsky model is,

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\mathcal{R}+\alpha\mathcal{R}^2\right) + S_{\mathrm{matter}} \tag{1}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathcal{R}$ is the Ricci scalar and S_{matter} is the matter action. In the metric formalism, the variation of the action with respect to the metric gives the field equations,

$$\left(1+2\alpha\mathcal{R}\right)G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}^2 - 2\alpha\left(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\Box\right)\mathcal{R} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2}$$

[9, 10]. Contracting with the inverse metric, the trace equation is,

$$6\alpha\Box\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} = 8\pi T. \tag{3}$$

We assume a spherically symmetric metric,

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\exp(2\Phi)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \exp(2\lambda)\mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2\left(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\mathrm{d}\phi^2\right). \tag{4}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(r)$ and $\Phi = \Phi(r)$ are the metric functions. The trace equation, for this form of the spherically symmetric metric, becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} 6\alpha\exp(-2\lambda)\mathcal{R}'' =& 8\pi T + \left(1-2\alpha\mathcal{R}\right)\mathcal{R} + 2\alpha\mathcal{R}^2 \\ &+ 6\alpha\mathcal{R}'\exp(-2\lambda)\left(\lambda' - \frac{2}{r} - \Phi'\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where primes denote derivatives with respect to the radial coordinate r. The "tt" and "rr" components of the field equations are,

$$\begin{aligned} -8\pi\rho =& -r^{-2} + \exp(-2\lambda)\left(1-2r\lambda'\right)r^{-2} + 2\alpha\mathcal{R}\left(-r^{-2} + \exp(-2\lambda)\left(1-2r\lambda'\right)r^{-2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mathcal{R}^2 + 2\alpha\exp(-2\lambda)\left(\mathcal{R}'r^{-1}\left(2-r\lambda'\right) + \mathcal{R}''\right), \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi P =& -r^{-2} + \exp(-2\lambda)\left(1+2r\nu'\right)r^{-2} + 2\alpha\mathcal{R}\left(-r^{-2} + \exp(-2\lambda)\left(1+2r\nu'\right)r^{-2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mathcal{R}^2 + 2\alpha\exp(-2\lambda)\mathcal{R}'r^{-1}\left(2+r\Phi'\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

respectively.

To cast the equations into a more familiar form of the so-called Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkov (TOV) equations, define $m(r)$, the mass within radial coordinate r, as

$$\exp(-2\lambda) = 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}. \tag{8}$$

By taking the derivative of this function, we get,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}r} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1-2\lambda' r}{2}\exp(-2\lambda) \tag{9}$$

and by rearranging, we reach the form,

$$\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}\left(2\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}r} - 1\right)\left(\frac{1}{r-2m}\right) + \frac{1}{2r}. \tag{10}$$

By plugging this to the "tt" component of the field equations , the first TOV equation is obtained as:

$$2\left(1 + 2\alpha\mathcal{R} + \alpha\mathcal{R}' r\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}r} = 8\pi\rho r^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha r^2\mathcal{R}^2 + \alpha\mathcal{R}' r\left(-6\frac{m}{r} + 4\right) + 2\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)\alpha r^2\mathcal{R}''. \tag{11}$$

By using the "r" component of the conservation equation of the energy-momentum tensor, $\nabla_\mu T_1^\mu = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu T_1^\mu =& \partial_\mu T_1^\mu + \Gamma^\mu_{\mu\lambda} T_1^\lambda - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu 1} T_\lambda^\mu, \\ =& P' + P\left(\lambda' + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} + \phi'\right) - \left(\lambda' P + \frac{1}{r}P + \frac{1}{r}P - \Phi'\rho\right).\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Then the second TOV equation is obtained as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\left(\rho + P\right)\Phi', \tag{13}$$

where the Φ' is found from the "rr" component of the field equation

$$\begin{aligned}2\Phi'\left(1 + 2\alpha\mathcal{R} + \alpha r\mathcal{R}'\right) =& 8\pi P\left(\frac{r^2}{r-2m}\right) + \left(1 + 2\alpha\mathcal{R}\right)\frac{2m}{r-2m}r^{-1} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mathcal{R}^2\frac{r^2}{r-2m} - 4\alpha\mathcal{R}'.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

III. SINGULAR PERTURBATION PROBLEM

We, first, define the dimensionless parameters

$$x = \frac{r}{R_*},\ \epsilon = \frac{\alpha}{R_*^2},\ \bar{\mathcal{R}} = R_*^2\mathcal{R},\ \bar{P} = R_*^2 P,\ \ \bar{\rho} = R_*^2\rho\ ,\ \ \bar{m} = \frac{m}{R_*} \tag{15}$$

where R_* is the radial distance from center to the surface of the star and so $0 < x < 1$. The first TOV equation, Equation 11, in terms of these dimensionless variables becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1 + 2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}} + \epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}' x\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{m}}{\mathrm{d}x} =& \frac{x^2}{12}\left(48\pi\bar{P} + \left(2 + 3\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right)\bar{\mathcal{R}} + 32\pi\bar{\rho}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\left(1 + 2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right)}\frac{\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}'}{6}\left[-6\bar{m}\left(1 + 2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right) + x^3\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}} + 3\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^2 + 16\pi\bar{\rho}\right)\right] \\ &+ \epsilon^2 x\left(x - 2\bar{m}\right)\frac{2}{\left(1 + 2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right)}\bar{\mathcal{R}}'^2.\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Similarly, the second TOV equation, Equation 13, then becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{P}}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\frac{\bar{\rho}+\bar{P}}{4x\left(x-2\bar{m}\right)\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}+\epsilon x\bar{\mathcal{R}}'\right)} \times \left[16\pi x^3\bar{P}+4\bar{m}+8\epsilon\bar{m}\bar{\mathcal{R}}-\epsilon x^3\bar{\mathcal{R}}^2-8\epsilon x\bar{\mathcal{R}}'\left(x-2\bar{m}\right)\right]. \tag{17}$$

Finally, the trace equation Equation 5 becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right)\bar{\mathcal{R}}'' = &\left(-8\pi\bar{\rho}+24\pi\bar{P}+\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right)\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{x}{x-2\bar{m}}\right)\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right) \\ &+\frac{1}{6}\frac{\epsilon}{x-2\bar{m}}\left[\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right)12\bar{m}x^{-1}-12\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right)\right]\bar{\mathcal{R}}' \\ &+\frac{1}{6}\frac{\epsilon}{x-2\bar{m}}\left[3\epsilon x^2\bar{\mathcal{R}}^2+x^2\bar{\mathcal{R}}+16\pi x^2\bar{\rho}\right]\bar{\mathcal{R}}'+2\epsilon^2\bar{\mathcal{R}}'^2. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

For satisfying continuity at centre of the star we choose two boundary condition as $\bar{m}(0)=0$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}'(0)=0$. Since at surface of the star pressure vanishes we have $\bar{P}(1)=0$. Also for matching with Schwarzschild solution at the surface we choose $\bar{\mathcal{R}}(1)=0$ [39].

Because of the ϵ coefficient multiplying the $\mathcal{R}''$ term in the trace equation Equation 18, the system of equations poses a singular perturbation problem. An appropriate method for handling such singular problems, well known in the fluid dynamics research, is the MAE which we employ in the following. According to the method, there should be a *boundary layer* which according to the authors of ref. [38] forms near to the surface of the star. The solution which is valid in the boundary layer is called the *inner solution* and for stretching this region a new parameter will be defined in an appropriate way. Outside the boundary layer—the rest of the star—the *outer solution* is valid. In a transition region the two solutions match with each other. The outer solutions of Equations (16), (17) and (18) satisfy the boundary conditions at $x=0$, and the inner solutions satisfy the boundary conditions at the $x=1$.

A. Equations of Outer Region

The outer solutions valid where $0<x<1$ and they are introduced as perturbative expansions

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{out}}(x) = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(x)+\epsilon^{1/2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mathrm{out}}(x)+O\left(\epsilon\right) \tag{19a}$$

$$\bar{m}^{\mathrm{out}}(x) = \bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(x)+\epsilon^{1/2}\bar{m}_1^{\mathrm{out}}(x)+O\left(\epsilon\right) \tag{19b}$$

$$\bar{P}^{\mathrm{out}}(x) = \bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(x)+\epsilon^{1/2}\bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{out}}(x)+O\left(\epsilon\right) \tag{19c}$$

$$\bar{\rho}^{\mathrm{out}}(x) = \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(x)+\epsilon^{1/2}\bar{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{out}}(x)+O\left(\epsilon\right). \tag{19d}$$

We plug these expressions into Equations (16), (17) and (18). The $O(1)$ terms in the equations are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{x^2}{6}\left(24\pi\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{out}} + 16\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right) \tag{20a}$$

$$4x\left(x - 2\bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\left(\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}} + \bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right)\left(16\pi x^3\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}} + 4\bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right) \tag{20b}$$

$$0 = x\left(-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}} + 24\pi\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right). \tag{20c}$$

Similarly, the $O(\epsilon^{1/2})$ terms are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{m}_1^{\mathrm{out}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{x^2}{6}\left(24\pi\bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{out}} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mathrm{out}} + 16\pi\bar{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{out}}\right) \tag{21a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4x\left(x - 2\bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{out}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = &-8x\bar{m}_1^{\mathrm{out}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}}}{\mathrm{d}x} \\ &-\left(\bar{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{out}} + \bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{out}}\right)\left(16\pi x^3\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}} + 4\bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right) \\ &-\left(\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}} + \bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right)\left(16\pi x^3\bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{out}} + 4\bar{m}_1^{\mathrm{out}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{21b}$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{6}x\left(-8\pi\bar{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{out}} + 24\pi\bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{out}} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mathrm{out}}\right). \tag{21c}$$

By solving these equations the outer solutions can be obtained. Also the boundary conditions at $x = 0$ become

$$\bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(0) = \bar{m}_1^{\mathrm{out}}(0) = 0, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(0) = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mathrm{out}}(0) = 0. \tag{22}$$

B. Equations of Inner Region

The problematic parts of the previous works [e.g. 38] is to find a solution matching with the Schwarzschild solution at the surface of the star. So it is a fair assumption that the boundary layer occurs near the surface of the star (see Figure 1) where the Ricci scalar changes its behaviour to match with the Schwarzschild solution. Therefore, we define the inner variable (coordinate stretching parameter) as $\xi \equiv (1-x)/\epsilon^{\nu}$ where ν is to be determined by careful balancing of the terms. Accordingly, writing Equation 18 in terms of the inner variable, $\mathcal{R}''$ term and one of the terms on the right hand side of the equation become $O(1)$ while the rest of the terms are higher order. To obtain that we are forced to choose $\nu = 1/2$.

Hence, the inner solutions are valid for $0 \ll x < 1$ and introduced as

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) + \epsilon^{1/2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) + O(\epsilon) \tag{23a}$$

$$\bar{m}^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) = \bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) + \epsilon^{1/2}\bar{m}_1^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) + O(\epsilon) \tag{23b}$$

$$\bar{P}^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) = \bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) + \epsilon^{1/2}\bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) + O(\epsilon) \tag{23c}$$

$$\bar{\rho}^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) = \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) + \epsilon^{1/2}\bar{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{in}}(\xi) + O(\epsilon). \tag{23d}$$

The boundary conditions at $x = 1$ then become

$$\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{in}}(0) = \bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{in}}(0) = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{in}}(0) = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mathrm{in}}(0) = 0. \tag{24}$$

Using the coordinate stretching parameter we rewrite the dimensionless TOV Equation 16 as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2}{\epsilon^{1/2}}\left[1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}-\epsilon^{1/2}\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)'\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{m}^{\text{in}}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}= \\
&-\frac{\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)^2}{6}\left(48\pi\bar{P}^{\text{in}}+\left(2+3\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}\right)\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}+32\pi\bar{\rho}^{\text{in}}\right) \\
&+\epsilon^{1/2}\frac{\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)'}{3\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)}\left[\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)^3\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}+3\epsilon\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)^2+16\pi\bar{\rho}^{\text{in}}\right)-6\bar{m}^{\text{in}}\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)\right] \\
&-\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi-2\bar{m}^{\text{in}}\right)\frac{4}{\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)}\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)'^2. \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly TOV Equation 17 as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[4\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi-2\bar{m}^{\text{in}}\right)\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}-\left(\epsilon^{1/2}-\epsilon\xi\right)\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)'\right)\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{P}^{\text{in}}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}= \\
&\epsilon^{1/2}\left(\bar{\rho}^{\text{in}}+\bar{P}^{\text{in}}\right)\left[16\pi\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)^3\bar{P}^{\text{in}}+4\bar{m}^{\text{in}}+8\epsilon\bar{m}^{\text{in}}\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}-\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)^3\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)^2\right. \\
&\left.+8\epsilon^{1/2}\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)'\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi-2\bar{m}^{\text{in}}\right)\right] \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

and finally the trace Equation 18 as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi-2\bar{m}^{\text{in}}\right)\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)''= \\
&+\frac{1}{6}\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)^2\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)\left(-8\pi\bar{\rho}^{\text{in}}+24\pi\bar{P}^{\text{in}}+\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right) \\
&-\frac{\epsilon^{1/2}}{6}\left[\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)12\bar{m}^{\text{in}}-12\left(1+2\epsilon\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)+\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)^3\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)^2\right]\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{ in}}\right)' \\
&-\frac{\epsilon^{1/2}}{6}\left[\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)^3\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}+16\pi\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)^3\bar{\rho}^{\text{in}}\right]\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)' \\
&+2\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi\right)\left(1-\epsilon^{1/2}\xi-2\bar{m}^{\text{in}}\right)\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{in}}\right)'^2. \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

$O\left(1\right)$ terms in these equations are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{m}_0^{\text{in}}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}=0 \qquad (28a)$$

$$\left(1-2\bar{m}_0^{\text{in}}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{P}_0^{\text{in}}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}=0 \qquad (28b)$$

$$6\left(1-2\bar{m}_0^{\text{in}}\right)\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\text{in}}\right)''=-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}+24\pi\bar{P}_0^{\text{in}}+\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\text{in}}. \qquad (28c)$$

Non-trivial solutions of the first and the second equations are

$$\bar{m}_0^{\text{in}}=A_0 \qquad \bar{P}_0^{\text{in}}=B_0 \qquad (29)$$

where A_0 and B_0 are constants. According to boundary condition at Equation 24, B_0 should be zero.

Then $O(\epsilon^{1/2})$ terms in the equations of inner solution (Equation 25), (Equation 26) and (Equation 27) are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{m}_1^{\mathrm{in}}}{\mathrm{d}\xi} = -\frac{1}{6}\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{in}} + 16\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{in}}\right) \tag{30a}$$

$$(1-2A_0)\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{in}}}{\mathrm{d}\xi} = \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{in}} A_0 \tag{30b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(1-2A_0)\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mathrm{in}}\right)'' = &\left[2\bar{m}_1^{\mathrm{in}} + 2\xi\left(1-A_0\right)\right]\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{in}}\right)'' \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\left(-8\pi\bar{\rho}_1^{\mathrm{in}} + 24\pi\bar{P}_1^{\mathrm{in}} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\mathrm{in}}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{3}\xi\left(-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{in}} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{in}}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{6}\left(12A_0 - 12 + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{in}} + 16\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{in}}\right)\left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{in}}\right)'.\end{aligned} \tag{30c}$$

IV. UNIFORM DENSITY STARS

For simplicity, density is taken constant within the star and have no perturbative part. Accordingly $\rho_1^{\mathrm{out}} = \rho_1^{\mathrm{in}} = 0$.

A. Outer Solutions for Uniform Density

Equation 20c can be written as

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(x) = 8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}} - 24\pi\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(x). \tag{31}$$

If we plug it into Equation 20a, we obtain that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = 4\pi x^2\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}. \tag{32}$$

The solution of this equation with boundary conditions given in Equation 22 is

$$\bar{m}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(x) = \frac{4}{3}\pi x^3\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}. \tag{33}$$

And the solution of the Equation 20b (see section A) is

$$\bar{P}_0^{\mathrm{out}} = \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\left(\frac{2\left(P_c + \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{3}{3-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}x^2}}}{3\left(P_c + \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{3}{3-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}x^2}} - \left(3P_c + \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right)} - 1\right). \tag{34}$$

According to Equation 31,

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\mathrm{out}}(x) = 16\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\left(1 - \frac{3P_c + \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}}{3\left(P_c + \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{3}{3-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}x^2}} - 3P_c - \bar{\rho}_0^{\mathrm{out}}}\right). \tag{35}$$

As shown in section B $O(\epsilon^{1/2})$ equations, i.e. Equations (Equation 21a), (Equation 21b) and (Equation 21c), give

$$\bar{m}_1^{\text{out}}(x) = 0 \tag{36a}$$

$$\bar{P}_1^{\text{out}}(x) = P_{c1} \mathrm{e}^{F(x)} \tag{36b}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\text{out}}(x) = -24\pi P_{c1} \mathrm{e}^{F(x)}. \tag{36c}$$

Here P_{c1} is some constant corresponded $\bar{P}_1^{\text{out}}(0)$. Note that both of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\text{out}}$ and $\bar{R}_0^{\text{out}}$ are already consistent with boundary condition given in Equation 22.

B. Inner Solutions for uniform density

The non-trivial solutions for $\bar{m}_0^{\text{in}}$ and $\bar{P}_0^{\text{in}}$ are given in Equation 29. Then for $\rho_1^{\text{in}} = 0$, $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\text{in}}$ can be found from Equation 28c as

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0^{\text{in}}(\xi) = C_0 \exp\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6(1-2A_0)}}\right) + D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6(1-2A_0)}}\right) + 8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}} \tag{37}$$

and the boundary conditions at Equation 24 requires $C_0 + D_0 = -8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}$. With these results, solutions of Equation 30a and Equation 30b are

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{m}_1^{\text{in}}(\xi) = &- C_0\sqrt{\frac{1-2A_0}{6}} \exp\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6(1-2A_0)}}\right) + D_0\sqrt{\frac{1-2A_0}{6}} \exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6(1-2A_0)}}\right) \\ &- 4\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}\xi + A_1\end{aligned} \tag{38a}$$

$$\bar{P}_1^{\text{in}}(\xi) = \frac{A_0\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}}{(1-2A_0)}\xi \tag{38b}$$

for uniform density. The solution of Equation 30c is found as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1^{\text{in}}(\xi) = &- \frac{C_0^2}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}} \exp\left(\frac{2\xi}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}}\right) + \frac{D_0^2}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}} \exp\left(-\frac{2\xi}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}}\right) \\ &+ \frac{3A_0 - 12\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}}{(6-12A_0)^{3/2}} C_0\xi^2 \exp\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{6A_1}{(6-12A_0)^{3/2}} - \frac{3A_0-2}{2(1-2A_0)}\right)\xi C_0 \exp\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}}\right) \\ &- \frac{3A_0 - 12\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}}{(6-12A_0)^{3/2}} D_0\xi^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}}\right) \\ &- \left(\frac{6A_1}{(6-12A_0)^{3/2}} + \frac{3A_0-2}{2(1-2A_0)}\right) D_0\xi \exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}}\right) \\ &+ C_1 \exp\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}}\right) + D_1 \exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{6-12A_0}}\right) \\ &- \frac{24\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}A_0}{1-2A_0}\xi.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The boundary conditions at Equation 24 implies that

$$C_1 + D_1 = \frac{C_0^2}{\sqrt{6\left(1-2A_0\right)}} - \frac{D_0^2}{\sqrt{6\left(1-2A_0\right)}}. \tag{40}$$

C. Composite Solutions for Uniform Density

For simplifying the equations we define some constants as

$$\frac{4}{3}\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}} = \bar{M}^{\text{out}}, \qquad \beta = \sqrt{6\left(1-2A_0\right)}. \tag{41a}$$

For matching the solutions we employed Van Dyke's method. Hence, we obtain

$$C_0 = C_1 = P_{c1} = A_1 = 0, \qquad D_0 = -8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}, \qquad D_1 = -\left(8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}\right)^2/\sqrt{6\left(1-2A_0\right)} \tag{42}$$

$$\bar{P}_c = \bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\frac{1-\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}}}{3\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{out}}-1}, \qquad \bar{P}_{c1} = 0, \qquad \bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}} = \bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}, \qquad A_0 = \bar{M}^{\text{out}}. \tag{43}$$

In this way we have determined all constants in terms of $\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}$. Since $\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}$ equal to $\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{in}}$, we can denote both of them with $\bar{\rho}$.

After matching the solutions we can construct the composite solutions by subtracting the overlapping parts from the sum of the solutions. Accordingly, the dimensionless mass is found as

$$\bar{m}\left(x\right) = \frac{4}{3}\pi x^3\bar{\rho} - \epsilon^{1/2}\frac{4}{3}\pi\bar{\rho}\beta\exp\left(-\frac{1-x}{\epsilon^{1/2}\beta}\right). \tag{44}$$

The dimensionless pressure is found as

$$\bar{P}\left(x\right) = \bar{\rho}\frac{\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}}-\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}x^2}}{\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}x^2}-3\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}}}. \tag{45}$$

Finally, the dimensionless Ricci scalar is found as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{R}}\left(x\right) =& 16\pi\bar{\rho}\frac{2\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}x^2}-3\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}}}{\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}x^2}-3\sqrt{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}}} \\ &+ D_0\left[1+\frac{1-2\pi\bar{\rho}}{1-2\bar{M}^{\text{out}}}\left(1-x\right)\right]\exp\left(-\frac{1-x}{\beta\epsilon^{1/2}}\right) \\ &+ \epsilon^{1/2}\left[\frac{D_0^2}{\beta}\exp\left(-2\frac{1-x}{\beta\epsilon^{1/2}}\right)+D_1\exp\left(-\frac{1-x}{\beta\epsilon^{1/2}}\right)\right] \\ &+ D_0\frac{8\pi\bar{\rho}}{\epsilon^{1/2}\beta^3}\left(1-x\right)^2\exp\left(-\frac{1-x}{\beta\epsilon^{1/2}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Note that, the negative pressure is non-physical and for avoiding this situation we impose

$$3 > \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\frac{8}{3}\pi\bar{\rho}}} > 1 \tag{47}$$

which implies that $0 < \bar{\rho} < 0.1$.



FIG. 1: Composite solutions for dimensionless mass $\bar{m}$ (panel a), pressure $\bar{P}$ (panel b) and Ricci scalar $\bar{R}$ (panel c). Panel (d) represents the factor of R' term in Equation 18 which is always negative. This is consistent with our our assumption that the boundary layer occurs at $x = 1$. For all panels $\bar{\rho} = 0.1$.

In Figure 1 we show the composite solutions. As shown in panel (c) of Figure 1 $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ vanishes

at the surface. Accordingly, neutron star solutions are possible in Starobinsky model.

Panel (d) of Figure 1 shows that the factor of R' term in Equation 18 is always negative. According to MAE method, if the factor of the first order derivative term is negative, boundary layer occurs at $x = 1$. So our assumption that the boundary layer occurs at $x = 1$ is consistent.

V. MASS-RADIUS RELATION

The mass and the pressure given in Equation 44 and Equation 45 can be written in dimensional form by using the radius of the star, R_*, according to Equation 15. Then, the mass and the radius of the star can be found in terms of relativity parameter, $\mu = (9\pi/4)^{1/3} (\rho/\rho_L)^{1/3}$, as

$$M(\mu) = M_L \frac{6\sqrt{\pi}}{5\sqrt{10}} \mu^{3/2} g(\mu) \left\{ 1 - \left(\alpha \frac{4\rho_L}{9\pi} \mu^3 \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{20\pi}{\mu^2 \left(g(\mu)\right)^{2/3}} - 16\pi} \right\} \tag{48}$$

$$R(\mu) = R_L \left(\frac{2}{5\mu} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{9\pi}{4} g(\mu) \right)^{1/3} \tag{49}$$

where

$$g(\mu) = \frac{(1 + 2\mu^2/5)^{3/2}}{(1 + 3\mu^2/5)^3} \tag{50}$$

and the Landau parameters are

$$M_L \equiv \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G} \right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{m_N^2} \approx 1.85 M_\odot, \tag{51}$$

$$R_L \equiv \frac{G M_L}{c^2} \approx 2.71 \,\mathrm{km}, \tag{52}$$

$$\rho_L \equiv \frac{3 M_L}{4\pi R_L^3} \approx 4.29 \times 10^{16} \,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}} \tag{53}$$

[40]. By using these, the mass-radius (M-R) relation can be obtained. When $dM/d\rho$ is negative, the configuration is unstable. Stable part of the M-R relation is shown in Figure 2. The radius of the star decreases as the mass increases as shown in Figure 2. Also the mass decreases as α increases.

The dependence of maximum mass and minimum radius on α are shown in Figure 3. Obtaining a simple analytic expression for the relativistic parameter which corresponds maximum value of the mass is not possible. Yet, the mass behaves asymptotic as shown in Figure 3. It is proportional to $\alpha^{-3/2}$ in $\alpha \gg 1$ limit. Similarly, the radius is proportional to $\alpha^{1/2}$ in $\alpha \gg 1$ limit. The best fit functions for the stellar mass and the radius of the star



FIG. 2: The stellar mass and the radius of the star (M-R) relations with different value of α are shown in the figure. Here M, $M_\odot$, R_* are the stellar mass, the Sun mass and radius of the star, respectively. In the gray region, the causality is violated since the sound speed, $c_s = \sqrt{dP/d\rho}$, is higher than third of the light speed, c.

are

$$M_{\max}(\alpha) = \frac{0.86 \times 0.37\alpha^{-1.55}}{(0.37\alpha^{-0.05} + 0.86\alpha^{-1.5})} \tag{54}$$

$$R_{\min}(\alpha) = \frac{(2.56\alpha^{0.02})^3 + (2.37\alpha^{0.5})^3}{(2.56\alpha^{0.02})^2 + (2.37\alpha^{0.5})^2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{2.56 \times 2.37\alpha^{0.52}}{(2.56\alpha^{0.02}) + (2.37\alpha^{0.5})}. \tag{55}$$

VI. CONCLUSION

We studied the structure and mass-radius relation for uniform density relativistic stars in $f(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R} + \alpha\mathcal{R}^2$ gravity model. We used the method of matched asymptotic expansions to handle singular perturbation problem posed by the higher order derivatives in the field equations arising from higher order curvature term. This method allows us to obtain solutions, parameterized by α, which smoothly match with the solutions obtained within general relativity ($\alpha = 0$). This establishes, once again by a different method than the previously considered perturbative approach [26, 27], the existence of relativistic stars in this model of gravity.

Arapoglu et al. [27] and [41] find that the maximum mass is minimum for $\alpha \simeq 2\mathrm{km}^2$ with small difference arising from the different polytropic indices employed. We do not find such a critical value of α, but that $M_{\max}$ decreases monotonically with α. More specifically,



FIG. 3: The left figure shows the dependence of the maximum mass, $M_{\max}$, on α. The right figure shows the dependence of the radius of the star, $R_{\min}$, which corresponds to the maximum mass on α. Here, $M_\odot$ is the Sun mass. The solids lines are fit lines and the real data are represented by black points in both figures.

the maximum mass decreases slowly with α for $\alpha < 1$ km^2 and for values of α larger than 10 km^2, we find that $M_{\max} \propto \alpha^{-3/2}$. The difference between this and the previous results may stem from the uniform density assumption employed in this paper.

We also find that $R_{\min}$, the radius of the maximum mass star, increases with α with a weak dependence for $\alpha < 1$ km^2 and with $R_{\min} \propto \alpha^{1/2}$ for values of α larger than 10 km^2. Thus the compactness, GM/Rc^2, of the most compact configuration $GM_{\max}/R_{\min}c^2$, decreases with the inverse square of α. Thus, general relativity, as a special case of this model of gravity, holds the most compact stellar configurations.

[1] H. F. M. Goenner, "On the History of Unified Field Theories," *Living Reviews in Relativity*, vol. 7, Feb. 2004.

[2] K. S. Stelle, "Renormalization of higher-derivative quantum gravity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 16, pp. 953–969, Aug. 1977.

[3] K. S. Stelle, "Classical gravity with higher derivatives," *General Relativity and Gravitation*, vol. 9, pp. 353–371, Apr. 1978.

[4] D. G. Boulware, G. T. Horowitz, and A. Strominger, "Zero-energy theorem for scale-invariant gravity," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 50, pp. 1726–1729, May 1983.

[5] G. T. Horowitz, "Quantum cosmology with a positive-definite action," *Physical Review D*, vol. 31, pp. 1169–1177, Mar. 1985.

[6] S. Deser and B. Tekin, "Shortcuts to high symmetry solutions in gravitational theories," *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 20, pp. 4877–4883, Nov. 2003.

[7] S. Deser and B. Tekin, "New energy definition for higher-curvature gravities," *Physical Review D*, vol. 75, p. 084032, Apr. 2007.

[8] J. Maldacena, "Einstein Gravity from Conformal Gravity," *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2011.

[9] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, "f(R) theories of gravity," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 82, pp. 451–497, Jan. 2010.

[10] A. de Felice and S. Tsujikawa, "f(R) Theories," *Living Reviews in Relativity*, vol. 13, p. 3, June 2010.

[11] S. Capozziello and M. de Laurentis, "Extended Theories of Gravity," *Physics Reports*, vol. 509, pp. 167–321, Dec. 2011.

[12] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, "Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: From F(R) theory to Lorentz non-invariant models," *Physics Reports*, vol. 505, pp. 59–144, Aug. 2011.

[13] L. Amendola, R. Gannouji, D. Polarski, and S. Tsujikawa, "Conditions for the cosmological viability of f(R) dark energy models," *Physical Review D*, vol. 75, p. 083504, Apr. 2007.

[14] A. Aviles, A. Bravetti, S. Capozziello, and O. Luongo, "Updated constraints on f(R) gravity from cosmography," *Physical Review D*, vol. 87, p. 044012, Feb. 2013.

[15] J.-Q. Guo and A. V. Frolov, "Cosmological dynamics in f(R) gravity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 88, p. 124036, Dec. 2013.

[16] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani, and S. Zerbini, "Class of viable modified f(R) gravities describing inflation and the onset of accelerated expansion," *Physical Review D*, vol. 77, p. 046009, Feb. 2008.

[17] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla, and C. Skordis, "Modified gravity and cosmology," *Physics Reports*, vol. 513, pp. 1–189, Mar. 2012.

[18] T. Clifton, "Parametrized post-Newtonian limit of fourth-order theories of gravity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 77, p. 024041, Jan. 2008.

[19] A. A. Starobinsky, "A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 91, pp. 99–102, Mar. 1980.

[20] T. Kobayashi and K.-I. Maeda, "Can higher curvature corrections cure the singularity problem in f(R) gravity?," *Phys. Rev. D.*, vol. 79, p. 024009, Jan. 2009.

[21] L. G. Jaime, L. Patiño, and M. Salgado, "Robust approach to f(R) gravity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 83, p. 024039, Jan. 2011.

[22] E. Babichev and D. Langlois, "Relativistic stars in f(R) gravity," *Phys. Rev. D.*, vol. 80, p. 121501, Dec. 2009.

[23] E. Babichev and D. Langlois, "Relativistic stars in f(R) and scalar-tensor theories," *Phys. Rev. D.*, vol. 81, p. 124051, June 2010.

[24] X. Jaén, J. Llosa, and A. Molina, "A reduction of order two for infinite-order Lagrangians," *Physical Review D*, vol. 34, pp. 2302–2311, Oct. 1986.

[25] D. A. Eliezer and R. P. Woodard, "The problem of nonlocality in string theory," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 325, pp. 389–469, Oct. 1989.

[26] A. Cooney, S. Dedeo, and D. Psaltis, "Neutron stars in f(R) gravity with perturbative constraints," *Physical Review D*, vol. 82, p. 064033, Sept. 2010.

[27] S. Arapoğlu, C. Deliduman, and K. Y. Ekşi, "Constraints on perturbative f(R) gravity via neutron stars," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 7, p. 20, July 2011.

[28] F. Özel, G. Baym, and T. Güver, "Astrophysical measurement of the equation of state of neutron star matter," *Physical Review D*, vol. 82, p. 101301, Nov. 2010.

[29] A. V. Astashenok, S. Capozziello, and S. D. Odintsov, "Further stable neutron star models from f(R) gravity," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 12, p. 040, Dec. 2013.

[30] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, R. Farinelli, and S. D. Odintsov, "Mass-radius relation for neutron stars in f (R) gravity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 93, p. 023501, Jan. 2016.

[31] S. S. Yazadjiev and D. D. Doneva, "Comment on "The Mass-Radius relation for Neutron Stars in $f(R)$ gravity" by S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, R. Farinelli and S. Odintsov," *ArXiv e-prints*, Dec. 2015.

[32] A. V. Astashenok, S. Capozziello, and S. D. Odintsov, "Maximal neutron star mass and the resolution of the hyperon puzzle in modified gravity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 89, p. 103509, May 2014.

[33] A. V. Astashenok, S. Capozziello, and S. D. Odintsov, "Magnetic neutron stars in f(R) gravity," *Astrophysics and Space Science*, vol. 355, pp. 333–341, Feb. 2015.

[34] A. V. Astashenok, S. Capozziello, and S. D. Odintsov, "Extreme neutron stars from Extended Theories of Gravity," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 1, p. 001, Jan. 2015.

[35] C. M. Bender and S. A. Orszag, *Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers*. 1978.

[36] M. Van Dyke, *Perturbation methods in fluid mechanics*. Applied mathematics and mechanics, New York: Academic Press, 1964.

[37] J. D. Evans, L. M. H. Hall, and P. Caillol, "Standard cosmological evolution in a wide range of f(R) models," *Phys. Rev. D.*, vol. 77, p. 083514, Apr. 2008.

[38] A. Ganguly, R. Gannouji, R. Goswami, and S. Ray, "Neutron stars in the Starobinsky model," *Phys. Rev. D.*, vol. 89, p. 064019, Mar. 2014.

[39] A. M. Nzioki, S. Carloni, R. Goswami, and P. K. S. Dunsby, "New framework for studying spherically symmetric static solutions in f(R) gravity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 81, p. 084028, Apr. 2010.

[40] P. Ghosh, *Rotation and Accretion Powered Pulsars*. World Scientific Series in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2007.

[41] S. S. Yazadjiev, D. D. Doneva, K. D. Kokkotas, and K. V. Staykov, "Non-perturbative and self-consistent models of neutron stars in R-squared gravity," *Journal of Cosmology and As-*

troparticle Physics, vol. 6, p. 3, June 2014.

Appendix A: Solution of $\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}$

With Equation 33, Equation 20b can be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}}{\left(\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}+\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}\right)\left(\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}/3\right)}=-4\pi\frac{x\mathrm{d}x}{\left(1-\frac{8}{3}\pi x^2\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\right)}. \tag{A1}$$

By integrating both sides from $\bar{P}_c$ to $\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}$ and from 0 to x

$$\ln\left(\frac{\left(3\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\right)\left(\bar{P}_c+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\right)}{\left(\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\right)\left(3\bar{P}_c+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\right)}\right)^{3/2\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}}=\ln\left(\frac{8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x^2-3}{-3}\right)^{3/4\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}} \tag{A2}$$

is obtained. Solving this equation we find

$$\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}(x)=\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\left(\frac{2\left(\bar{P}_c+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\frac{8\pi}{3}\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x^2}}}{3\left(\bar{P}_c+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\frac{8\pi}{3}\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x^2}}-\left(3\bar{P}_c+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\right)}-1\right) \tag{A3}$$

where $\bar{P}_c$ is a constant corresponding to $\bar{P}_0^{\text{out}}(0)$.

Appendix B: Solutions of $O(\epsilon^{1/2})$ Equations

The $O(\epsilon^{1/2})$ equations of $\bar{R}_1^{\text{out}}(x)$ in the case of uniform density star Equation 21c implies that

$$\bar{R}_1^{\text{out}}(x)=-24\pi\bar{P}_1^{\text{out}}. \tag{B1}$$

By plugging the above into Equation 21a

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{m}_1^{\text{out}}}{\mathrm{d}x}=0 \tag{B2}$$

is obtained. Solution of this equation with boundary conditions at Equation 22 is $\bar{m}_1^{\text{out}}(x)=0$. By applying these results, solutions of Equation 21b is $\bar{P}_1^{\text{out}}(x)=\bar{P}_{c1}\mathrm{e}^{F(x)}$ where $\bar{P}_{c1}$ is a constant corresponding to $\bar{P}_1^{\text{out}}(0)$ and

$$F(x)=\int\frac{8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x}{8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x^2-3}\frac{3\bar{P}_c\sqrt{\frac{3}{3-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x^2}}+3\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{3-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x^2}}+3\bar{P}_c+\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}}{3\bar{P}_c\sqrt{\frac{3}{3-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x^2}}+3\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{3-8\pi\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}x^2}}-3\bar{P}_c-\bar{\rho}_0^{\text{out}}}\mathrm{d}x. \tag{B3}$$

Accordingly $\bar{R}_1^{\text{out}}$ is

$$\bar{R}_1^{\text{out}}(x)=-24\pi P_{c1}\mathrm{e}^{F(x)}. \tag{B4}$$